

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/996,061 11/27/2001		Max Schaldach	7163-32	3174
21324 7	21324 7590 08/03/2005		EXAMINER	
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP			THALER, MICHAEL H	
One GOJO Pla	za			
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH 44311-1076			3731	
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/02/2005	

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.
1/ /
<i>''</i>

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/996,061	SCHALDACH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael Thaler	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communicat	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 June 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	ction is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in c		•					
closed in accordance with t	he practice under <i>E</i> .	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19-52</u> is/	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19-52</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) $7.9-13.19.20.35-40$ and $42-50$ is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,14-17,21-34,41,51 and 52</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) I he oath or declaration is of	pjected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmenta							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/996,061

Art Unit: 3731

This application contains claims 7, 9-13, 19, 20, 35-40 and 42-50 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on May 19, 2004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 25 and 30 are rejected under U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Turi (5,556,414). Turi, figures 1-7 discloses a stent 20 for a vessel (col. 1, lines 40-42) comprising a tubular body (figure 1) for expansion from a first condition to a second condition (col. 8, lines 1-5), the stent being configured such that a first part of the stent (e.g. the portion of a loop 64 which is between i.e., longitudinally overlaps, the adjacent loops 62 in overlap region 70 as described from col. 6, line 66 to col. 7, line 1) is disposed inwardly relative to a second part of the stent (the above identified adjacent loops 62), (That is, part of a loop 64 is between the adjacent loops 62 and is therefore circumferentially within the structure of the adjacent loops 62 in overlap region 70 as seen in figure 4.), and wherein in the second condition, at least a portion of the first part changes its position relative to the second part from its position in the first condition such that the at least portion of the first part is Art Unit: 3731

not disposed inwardly relative to the second part of the stent (as seen in figure 5, wherein a portion of the part of loop 64 that was in overlap region 70 in figure 4 no longer overlaps the loops 62 and is no longer circumferentially within the structure of the adjacent loops 62), wherein the tubular body includes at least a first wall portion comprising human or animal tissue (26) of adequate elasticity. Alternatively, it would have been obvious that the tissue 26 of the Turi stent 20 has adequate elasticity since it expands with the cylindrical member 22. As to claims 6 and 30, Turi discloses hardening agent (the portion of the adhesive described in col. 5, lines 49-52 which hardens the adhesive as it cures or dries).

Claims 4, 8, 22, 23, 27, 29, 32, 34 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turi (5,556,414). As to claims 4, 22 and 23, Turi fails to disclose the tissue being genetically modified. However, it is old and well known in this art to genetically modify tissue in order to obtain favorable characteristics for it. It would have been obvious to genetically modify the Turi tissue so that it too would have this advantage. As to claims 8 and 41, Turi fails to disclose the hardening agent (the component of the adhesive) enclosed in microcapsules. However, it is old and well known in this art to enclose adhesive in microcapsules in order to obtain

Art Unit: 3731

the advantage of easily deploying the adhesive on the surface. It would have been obvious to enclose the Turi adhesive in microcapsules so that it too would have this advantage. The above well known in the art statements are taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertions (M.P.E.P. 2144.03).

Claims 3, 21, 24, 26, 28, 31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turi (5,556,414) in view of Atala (2003/0208279). Turi fails to disclose the tissue being cartilage. However, Atala teaches that tissue on a stent should be cartilage (paragraph [0041]) apparently in order to make the stent biocompatible (paragraph [0013]). It would have been obvious to make the Turi tissue cartilage so that it too would have this advantage.

Claims 14-17, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turi (5,556,414) in view of Berg et al. (5,680,873). As to claim 14, Turi discloses a catheter comprising a distal end region (the distal portion of the balloon catheter 41) and a holding device for holding the stent (the balloon on the balloon catheter 41). Turi fails to disclose a sheathing device provided with an application device for applying a medium which is capable of flow to a surface of the stent. However, Berg et al. teach that a guide catheter 22

Application/Control Number: 09/996,061

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

should be used with a balloon catheter in order to obtain the balloon catheter through advantage of guiding the the vasculature as well as delivering fluids to the body (col. 1, lines 13-21). It would have been obvious to include a guide catheter with the Turi balloon catheter so that it too would have this advantage. Note that the Berg et al. guide catheter 22 (the claimed sheathing device) has an application device (the feed passage of guide catheter 22 through which dye passes as described in col. 7, lines 17-20) which is provided at the sheathing device for applying a medium which is capable of flow example, after stent to surface of the stent. For implantation, the balloon catheter could be removed from the quide catheter and die could be delivered through the guide catheter to the stent. As to claim 15, Berg et al. disclose an application opening (at the extreme distal end of guide catheter 22). As to claim 16, the Berg et al. sheathing device 22 has an anti-adhesion coating 40 while Turi discloses a layer of adhesive in col. 5, lines 7-8 and 48-57.

Applicant's arguments filed June 20, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (571)272-4704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,061

Art Unit: 3731

mht 7/29/05 MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3731

Page 7